

The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives more complete and authoritative information of matters affecting labor, including the industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and the general information of benefit to the labor movement.

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Second-class matter, No. 1112, at St. Louis, Mo., October 1, 1917. Postage paid at St. Louis, Mo., October 1, 1917, and at other mailing offices. Accepted for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized October 3, 1917.

Contravention never involved any man; must be influenced by making them free. Voltaire.

WHOLE NO. 299

WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, MARCH 7, 1936

FRANK MORRISON, Secretary-Treasurer

VOL. 26, NO. 10

Work of A. F. of L. for Child Labor Amendment Praised by Miss Leontine

Children's Bureau Chief Pays Tribute to Trade Unionists For Persistent Efforts to Protect Children From Economic Slavery.

By A. F. of L. News Service.
The paramount importance of the "limitation of child labor under present industrial conditions on a nationwide basis" was emphasized by Miss Leontine F. Leontine, Chief of the Children's Bureau of the United States Department of Labor, in an address before the 1936 convention of the American Federation of Labor.

She referred to Samuel Gompers, late President of the A. F. of L., as a man who gave "invaluable leadership" to the movement for the adoption of the amendment by the United States Congress. She declared that his efforts had been continued by his successors, and that the amendment was a part of the nation's fundamental law.

"Historically, of course, child labor has always been prohibited by law," she said. "But the child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

"The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery," she said. "The child labor laws have been so weak and so full of loopholes that they have not been able to protect the child from economic slavery."

JUSTICE DOWLING HOLDS HIGH COURT UPHOLDS STATE RIGHT TO BAR PRISON-MADE GOODS

Federal Law Empowering States To Prohibit Sale of Convict Labor Products Brought in From Outside Is Held Constitutional.

By A. F. of L. News Service.
Washington, D. C.—A sweeping victory in the long fight to curb the sale of convict-made goods on the open market was recorded here by the decision of the United States Supreme Court sustaining the validity of the Hawes-Corbin Act barring the sale of prison products into interstate commerce.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of such merchandise as clothing, shoes, hats, and other articles made in any prison or reformatory in the United States.

The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

The act, which was passed in 1905, prohibits the sale of prison products into interstate commerce. The Supreme Court, in a unanimous decision, reached unanimously in favor of the act, and also upheld the constitutionality of the act.

Eilenbogen Condemns Starvation Wages Imposed on Textile Workers

N. Y. WPA WORKERS GET LARGE FIRST AID UNIT

By A. F. of L. News Service.
The 25,000 people employed on various WPA projects in New York City have been the protection and care of a first aid unit, composed of 1,000 experts in the treatment of 46 physical ailments.

This unit is said to be the first of its kind established anywhere in the United States. It was organized by Dr. W. W. C. Eilenbogen, Director of the Medical Department of the Works Progress Administration, and is reported to have been approved by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

An inspector has been provided, who will visit the first aid units in the five boroughs of New York City. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.

The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers. The units are prepared to render an efficient service to the workers.